arXiv:1411.2533v3 [astro-ph.HE] 20 May 2015

A Common Solution of Two Cosmic Puzzles

Shlomo Dado and Arnon Dar
Department of Physics and Space Research Institute, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel

The origin of the high energy gamma-ray background, which was measured with the large area telescope (LAT) aboard the Fermi satellite at energy below 820 GeV, and of the diffuse cosmic background of neutrinos, which was observed at much higher energies with the IceCube detector deep under the south pole ice, are among the current unsolved major cosmic puzzles. Here we show that their properties indicate a common origin: the decay of mesons produced in collisions of cosmic rays accelerated in relativistic jets with matter inside these jets. Moreover, their properties are those expected if the the highly relativistic jets are those emitted mainly in core collapse supernovae of type Ic, and by Active Galactic nuclei.

PACS numbers: 98.70.Sa, 98.70.Vc, 98.70.Rz, 98.38.Mz

I. INTRODUCTION

The high energy gamma ray background radiation (GBR) was first discovered 36 years ago with the SAS-2 satellite[1] and was later studied in detail with the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory[2] (CGRO) and more recently with the Fermi gamma ray satellite[3]. Recently, also a high energy neutrino background radiation (NBR) was discovered with the megaton IceCube detector[4] deep under the ice of the south pole. High energy particle physics offers three common production mechanisms of both the GBR and NBR. They include production of mesons in hadronic collisions of cosmic rays (CRs) with ambient matter in the galactic interstellar medium[5] (ISM) and in the intergalactic medium[6] (IGM) whose decays produce photons, electrons and neutrinos, photo production of mesons in CR collisions with radiation in/near gamma ray source[7], and decay of massive dark matter particle relics from the Big Bang[8]. But, so far, no connection has been found[9] between the NBR and GBR. and the origin and observed properties are still unsolved cosmic puzzles. Here we show that the very high energy NBR discovered[1] with IceCube is that expected from the GBR observed with Fermi-LAT at much lower energies[3], if both were produced by hadronic collisions of cosmic rays accelerated in relativistic jets with matter inside these jets, which are launched mostly in supernovae explosions of type Ic that produce the long duration gamma ray bursts[10,11] (GRBs), and by active galactic nuclei (AGN).

II. HADRONIC PRODUCTION OF HIGH ENERGY GAMMA RAYS AND NEUTRINOS

The highly relativistic jets launched in core collapse SN explosions of type Ic that produce long duration GRBs and by AGN also accelerate through the Fermi mechanism[12] the swept in ionized matter in front of them[10,11] to cosmic ray energies with a power-law spectrum[12] $dn/dE \propto E^{-2}$. These cosmic rays escape the jets by diffusion in the turbulent magnetic fields inside the jets. For a Kolmogorov spectrum[13] of the random magnetic fields in the jets, the CRs' diffusion coefficient is proportional to $E^{1/3}$ and consequently the residence time of the CRs in the jets is $t_r(E) \propto E^{-1/3}$. Hadronic collisions of these high energy CRs in the jets produce mesons (mainly π's and K's), which decay shortly after to the stable particles: photons (γ), electrons ($e^{\pm}$) and neutrinos ($\nu_i, \bar{\nu}_i$ of the three known flavors $i = e, \mu, \tau$). The decay $\pi^0 \to 2\gamma$ with energy per photon $E_\gamma = m_{\pi^0}/2 \approx 67.5$ MeV in the π^0 rest-frame dominates γ-ray production. Neutrino production is dominated by the cascade decay of $\pi^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu_\mu \to e^{\pm}\nu'_e\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu$, where ν'_e stands for electron neutrino in π^+ decay and electron antineutrino in π^- decay, and where the $e^{\pm}$ and the three neutrinos have each an energy $<E> \approx E_\pi/4 \approx 35$ MeV in the $\pi^{\pm}$ rest frame.

When a high energy CR proton of energy E_p produces a π with a lab frame energy $E_\pi = x\,E_p$, Lorentz invariance implies that the average lab frame energy of the 2 γ's from π^0 decay is $(x/2)\,E_p$ whereas that of the $\nu's$ is $\approx (x/4)\,E_p$. High energy collisions satisfies approximately Feynman scaling[14], namely, the x-distribution of the produced mesons does not depend on E_p . Since the CR residence time in the jets is proportional to $E^{-1/3}$, the spectra of the produced high energy mesons, photons and neutrinos become $\propto E^{-2.33}$. Inelastic pp collisions produce roughly equal numbers of π^0's, π^+'s and π^-'s with the same x-distribution, and neutrino oscillations convert the produced neutrino flux into three equal fluxes of neutrinos of the three neutrino flavors. Thus, the produced γ-ray flux and neutrino flux per flavor due to CR production of π mesons satisfy the simple relation

$$\Phi_\nu \approx \left[\frac{m_{\pi^\pm}}{2\,m_{\pi^0}}\right]^{1.33} \Phi_\gamma = 0.42\,\Phi_\gamma. \qquad (1)$$

Eq. (1) is valid for the injected spectrum of γ-rays and neutrinos when meson production in hadronic collisions of the high energy cosmic rays takes place inside the highly relativistic jets launched by the main cosmic accelerators (supernovae, pulsars, compact binaries, microquasars, and blazars). However, the observed spectrum of the GBR is modified by absorption of extragalactic



FIG. 1: The sub TeV flux of the extragalactic gamma-ray background (EGB) as function of gamma-ray energy measured[3] with Fermi-LAT, and the best fit exponential cut-off power-law behavior as given in Eq. 2. The straight line represents the unattenuated power-law EGB.



FIG. 2: Comparison between the flux of the high energy neutrino background radiation (NBR) above 35 TeV measured[4] with IceCube and the flux expected from the measured GBR and EGB with Fermi-LAT (Fig. 1), assuming hadronic production of mesons mostly inside the CR sources.

high energy γ-rays in $\gamma + \gamma_{EBL} \rightarrow e^+e^-$ collisions with the photons of the extragalactic background light (EBL). Moreover, the EGB has been observed below 820 GeV, while the NBR has been detected in the 35 Tev - 3 PeV energy range (where the background of atmospheric neutrinos becomes negligible). Consequently, Eq. (1) cannot be tested directly because the NBR and EGB were measured in different energy ranges.

III. ARE THE NBR AND GBR RELATED?

Above 100 GeV the flux of the extra galactic photons is progressively absorbed by the EBL[15]. This attenuation of the EGB, however, becomes small at[16] $E \lesssim 100$ GeV and the unattenuated EGB can be extrapolated from there to the 35 TeV- 3 PeV energy range where it can be compared to the NBR that has been measured[4] with IceCube. The lack of a precise knowledge of the EBL[17] does not allow a precise theoretical evaluation of the attenuation of the EGB. But, presumably, the observed attenuated EGB can be parametrized as a power-law that represents the unattenuated EGB with an exponential cutoff, which represents the attenuation. Indeed, the EGB that was measured during 50 months[3] with the Fermi Large Area Telescope (Fermi-LAT) is very well fit ($\chi^2/ndof = 7.05/23$) by

$$E^2\Phi_\gamma = 6.4\times10^{-7}\left[\frac{E}{\rm GeV}\right]^{-0.30} {\rm e}^{-\frac{\rm E}{0.366\,\rm TeV}}\ \frac{\rm GeV}{\rm cm^2\,sr\,s}, \quad (2)$$

as shown in Fig. 1. The attenuation of the EGB decreases its contribution to the GBR flux at $E_0 = 100$ GeV by $\approx 0.45\times10^{-11}\,\rm GeV/cm^2\,sr\,s$. Adding this loss to the mean flux of the GBR observed with Fermi-LAT[4] at 100 GeV yields unattenuated sky averaged GBR flux,

$$\Phi_\gamma(GBR) \approx 3.95\times10^{-11}\ \frac{\rm GeV}{\rm cm^2\,sr\,s}\,. \quad (3)$$

The contribution of bremsstrahlung and inverse Compton scattering of background photons by cosmic ray electrons and cosmic ray production of of π^0 in the ISM decreases rapidly with energy. Hence, if the unattenuated GBR at E> 100 GeV is dominated by hadronic meson production, then the ν/γ production ratio yields a ν flux per flavor

$$E^2\,\Phi_\nu \approx 0.42\,E^2\Phi_\gamma = 2.1\times10^{-8}\left[\frac{E}{100\rm TeV}\right]^{-0.30}\ \frac{\rm GeV}{\rm cm^2\,sr\,s}. \quad (4)$$

The power-law index is harder than 1/3 probably because of the increase with energy of the total inelastic pp cross section. About $\sim$ 41% of the flux is extragalactic in origin and $\sim$ 59% Galactic in origin. Moreover, the sky distribution of the GBR and NBR are expected to be nearly identical. Both, the magnitude and the spectral index of this predicted flux agrees with the best fitted single power-law, $E^2\Phi_\nu \approx 1.4\times10^{-8}\,[E/100\,\rm TeV]^{-0.30}\,GeV\,cm^{-2}\,s^{-1}\,sr^{-1}$ reported[4] recently by the IceCube collaboration. However, since $< E_\nu > \approx < E_\pi/4 > \approx 0.06\,E_p$ and the flux of CR nucleons has a knee around E = 2 PeV, where its power-law index changes from $\approx$ -2.7 to -3, the spectral index of the NBR is predicted to change around 150 TeV from -2.3 to -2.6,

$$E^2\,\Phi_\nu \approx 0.42\,E^2\Phi_\gamma = 1.85\times10^{-8}\left[\frac{E}{150\rm TeV}\right]^{-0.60}\ \frac{\rm GeV}{\rm cm^2\,sr\,s}, \quad (5)$$

for E> 150 TeV, as shown in Fig. 2. The striking consistency between the GBR and NBR (in magnitude and spectral index) shown in Fig 2. is obtained neither for meson photo production in/near source nor for decay of massive relic particles from the Big-Bang (see Supplementary Material).

IV. WHICH COSMIC ACCELERATORS PRODUCE THE EGB AND ENB?

Among the cosmic accelerators that have been identified through their non-thermal radio, X-ray and γ-ray emissions, only the highly relativistic jets that produce the long duration gamma ray bursts in supernova explosions of type Ic and the jets of active galactic nuclei (AGN) appear to be able to accelerate cosmic ray nuclei to their highest observed energies with their observed spectra, composition and fluxes. The jets have a mean column density sufficiently large for efficient hadronic production of mesons by the CRs accelerated inside the jets.

Upon launch, the highly relativistic jets emitted by SN type Ic explosions and by AGN are optically thick[18], mainly due to Compton scattering. Their expansion makes them transparent to radiation when their column density decreases below $N_s \sim 1/\sigma_T \approx 1.5 \times 10^{24}\, cm^{-2}$. The swept in ionized particles in front of them are Fermi accelerated by their turbulent magnetic fields and escape by diffusion in through these fields[18]. During their diffusion in the jets,, the CRs suffer inelastic collisions with a probability $P \sim \sigma_{in}\, n_s\, c\, \tau_{esc} \propto E^{-\delta}$, where $\delta \approx 0.30$ for E< 150 TeV and $\delta \approx 0.60$ for E> 150 TeV. They produce a broken power-law flux of secondary neutrinos and gamma-rays, with a spectrum $\propto \mathrm{E}^{-2+\delta}$, with a probability that exceeds by far the probability of being produced in the ISM of the host galaxy.

An estimate of the GRB contribution to the ENB, from such a source that is based on the very successful cannonball model of GRBs is[18],

$$E^2\Phi_\nu \approx \frac{\dot{N}_{GRB}}{N_{GRB}} \frac{m_p}{m_e} \Sigma_i \frac{f_\nu(z_i)\,(1+z_i)^{2/3} Eiso(z_i)}{12\,\pi\,[D_L(z_i)]^2} \left[\frac{E}{m_p}\right]^{-1/3} . \quad (6)$$

In this estimate, $\dot{N}_{GRB}$ is the observed rate of GRBs, N_{GRBs} is the number of GRBs todate with known redshift z_i, luminosity distance $D_L(z_i)$ and isotropic equivalent gamma ray energy $Eiso(i)$ and the summation extends over such GRBs where $f_\nu(z_i)$ is the probability that a CR proton that was accelerated in a GRB at redshift z_i, produced a neutrino in hadronic collisions of the CRs in the jet. Using only priors and completely avoiding adjustable parameters, Eq. (6) yields a neutrino flux[19] compatible (within errors) with our estimated Galactic and extragalactic contributions to the NBR.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The diffuse gamma ray background that has been measured below 820 GeV with Fermi-LAT and the diffuse neutrino background that was discovered with IceCube in the 35 TeV - 3 PeV energy range are consistent with being produced jointly in hadronic collisions of extragalactic cosmic rays in/near source. Such a common source is very likely the highly relativistic launched in supernovae type Ic, and by AGN, most of which do not point in our direction. The emission from the jets may be spread over long time window and therefore may not be in conflict with the IceCube limits on the neutrino fluxes from individual[20] and stacked[21] GRBs, since they were derived from observations within rather a short time window covering the prompt emission and the early afterglow phases of GRBs (such a short time window is expected in the popular fireball models of GRBs[22]).

The observed gamma ray and neutrino backgrounds are compatible with those predicted by the cannonball model of GRBs[22].

Detailed calculations of cosmic ray production of gamma rays and neutrinos in hadronic collisions of galactic cosmic rays in the interstellar medium of our Galaxy and external galaxies, yield only a small contribution to the observed GBR and NBR with a much steeper spectral decline[19].

Although the approximate isotropy, spectral index and flux level of the high energy neutrino background measured with IceCube (*4*) are consistent with an extragalactic origin, a dominant contribution to the observed background from cosmic ray production of neutrinos in the Milky Way with nearly the same sky distribution as the GBR cannot be ruled out at present by the IceCube observations, because of low statistics and the large uncertainty in the neutrino arrival directions of most of the IceCube events.

[1] C. E. Fichtel, G. A. Simpson, and D. J. Thompson, *Astrophys. J.* **222**, 833 (1978).

[2] P. Sreekumar, et al. *Astrophys. J.* **494**, 523 (1998).

[3] A. A. Abdo et al. (The Fermi-LAT collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett* **104**, 101101 1 (2010); M. Ackermann et al. (Fermi-LAT Collab.) *Astrophys. J.* **750**, 3 (2012); **799**, 86 (2015).

[4] M. G. Aartsen, et al. (The IceCube Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113, 101101 (2014), *Science* **342**, 1242856 (2013); R. Abbasi, et al. (IceCube Collab.), *Astrophys. J.* **732**, 18 (2011).

[5] E.g., F. Stecker, *Astrophys .J* **228**, 919 (1979); V. Berezinsky, et al. *Astropart. Phys.* **1**, 281 (1993); C. Evoli, D. Grasso, and L. Maccione, arXiv:1310.5123; and references therein.

[6] A. Dar, and N. J. Shaviv, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 3052 (1995).

[7] K. Mannheim, *Phys. Rev.* **D48**, 2408 (1993).

[8] B. Feldstein, et al. *Phys. Rev.* **D88**, 015004 (2013). and references therein.

[9] See, e.g., K. Murase, M. Ahlers, and B. C. Lacki, *Phys. Rev. D88*, 121301 (2013); I. Tamborra, S. Ando, and K. Murase, *JCAP*, **09**, 043 (2014); K. Murase, e-print arXiv:1410.3680; M. Ahlers and K. Murase, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** 023010 (2014); See also recent review by L. Anchor-

doqui, et al. *J. High Ener. Phys.* **1-2**, 1 (2014) and references therein.
[10] N. Shaviv and A. Dar, *Astrophys. J.* **447**, 863 (1995); A. Dar, *Astrophys. J.* 500, L93 (1998); A. Dar and R. Plaga, *Aston. and Astrophys.* **349**, 259 (1999); A. Dar and A. De Rújula, *Phys. Rept.* **466**, 179 (2008).
[11] S. Dado, A. Dar, A. De Rújula, *Astron. and Astrophys.* **388**, 1079 (2002); A. Dar and A. De Rújula, *Phys. Rept.* **405**, 203 (2004); S. Dado and A. Dar *Astrophys. J.* **785**, 70 (2014); and references therein.
[12] E. Fermi, *Phys. Rev.* **75**, 1169 (1949).
[13] A. Kolmogorov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **30**, 301 (1941) [reprinted in *Proc. R. Soc. London* **A 434**, 9 (1941)].
[14] R. P. Feynman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **23**, 1415 (1969).
[15] M. G. Hauser and E. Dwek, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **39**, 249 (2001).
[16] A. Franceschini, G. Rodighiero and M. Vaccari, *Astron. and Astrophys.* **487**, 837 (2008).
[17] E.g., T. M. Venters, *Astrophys. J.* **710**, 1530 (2010).
[18] S. Dado, A. Dar and A. De Rújula, A. *Astrophys. J.* **693**, 311 (2009) and references therein.
[19] S. Dado and A. Dar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* in press (2014).
[20] E. Blaufuss, et al. (The IceCube Collaboration) GCN Circ. 14520 (2013).
[21] R. Abbasi, et al. (IceCube Collaboration), *Nature,* **484**, 351 (2012).
[22] E. Waxman *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 2292-2295 (1997). E. Waxman and J. Bahcall, *Phys. Rev.* **D59**, 023002 (1998).
[23] S. Dado and A. Dar, e-print arXiv:0910.0687 (2009).

VI. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

A. Photo mesons cannot produce the observed extragalactic γ-ray bacground

The typical energy of photons ϵ'_γ in the rest frame (indicated by a prime) of sources, such as the highly relativistic jets of blazars or GRBs, is less than a few keV. Consequently, the typical threshold energy of CR protons for π production in head-on collisions with photons in the rest frame of such sources is

$$E'_{th} \approx \frac{m_p(m_p+2m_\pi)}{4\epsilon'_\gamma} \approx 283\,\frac{\rm keV}{\epsilon'_\gamma}\,{\rm TeV}. \qquad (7)$$

Hence, if the typical bulk motion Lorentz factor of the source is Γ, then the typical energy of the photons from π^0 decay in CR sources is

$$E_\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}\frac{m_{\pi^0}\,\Gamma\,E'_{th}}{m_p+m_{\pi^0}} \approx 8.8\,\Gamma\,\left[\frac{\rm keV}{\epsilon'_\gamma}\right]\,{\rm TeV}\,. \qquad (8)$$

We conclude that photoproduction of mesons by CRs cannot be a common source of the sub TeV EGB observed with Fermi-Lat below 820 GeV and the astrophysical neutrinos discovered with IceCube at $E > 100TeV$.

B. Upper bounds on the ENB

If the observed flux of cosmic rays at energies above the CR ankle at $E \sim 3$ EeV is extragalactic in origin, then it must be dominated by protons because at such energies extragalactic cosmic ray nuclei are fully dissociated in collisions with the extragalactic background photons[1]. Assuming that such CR protons produce at most a single π^+ meson at source, Waxman and Bahcall[2] derived "a model-independent upper bound" ${E_\nu}^2\Phi_\nu < 2\times10^{-8}\,{\rm GeV/cm^2\,s\,sr}$ for the energy flux of extragalactic neutrinos above 100 TeV.

The locally observed flux of ultrahigh energy cosmic rays (UHECRs) indeed has a break at 40 EeV, which coincides in energy with that predicted by Greisen, Zatsepin and Kuzmin[3] (GZK) for extragalactic CR protons. The measured flux between the CR ankle and the GZK cutoff is well represented by[4] $\Phi_p \approx 0.16\,(E/GeV)^{-2.7}\,{\rm GeV^{-1}\,cm^{-1}\,s^{-1}\,sr^{-1}}$. (This spectrum also represents well, within errors, the measured spectrum of CR protons and bound nucleons below the CR ankle, at least down to to ~ 100 PeV[5]. Such an extragalactic flux of CR nucleons can produce an extragalactic flux of high energy neutrinos through the decay of π^+ mesons produced by collisions of the cosmic ray nucleons with photons in/near their sources. If π^+ production is dominated by $p\gamma \to \Delta^+(1232) \to n\pi^+$, the produced π^+ carries on average a fraction $E'_\pi/M_\Delta \approx 0.22$ of the incident proton momentum, where E'_π is the π energy in the Δ^+ rest frame (this fraction for π^+ production near threshold is $m_\pi/(m_\pi+m_n) \approx 0.13$). Since the branching ratio of $\Delta(1232)\to n\pi^+$ is $\approx 1/2$, and π^+ decay produces 3 neutrinos with $<E_\nu> \approx m_\pi/4$, production of neutrinos through photoproduction of $\Delta^+(1232)$ by high energy cosmic ray protons in optically thin sources satisfies

$$\Phi_\nu \approx \frac{3}{2}\left[\frac{0.216}{4}\right]^{1.7} P\,\Phi_p, \qquad (9)$$

where $P = \sigma_{p\gamma}\,N_\gamma$ is the probability that a CR proton produces a π^+ in a proton collision with a photon column density N_γ of an optically thin source before entering the intergalactic space. Eq. (7) with a constant P, which is independent of source and redshift, does not depend explicitly on cosmic evolution. Hence, for an optically thin sources with $P = 1$, the maximal energy flux per neutrino flavor is

$$E^2\Phi_\nu \approx 5.5\times10^{-7}\,P\,\left[\frac{E}{100{\rm TeV}}\right]^{-0.7}\,\frac{\rm GeV}{\rm cm^2\,s\,sr}. \qquad (10)$$

For P=1, this bound is larger by 1.7 orders of magnitude than the bound derived by Waxman and Bahcall who have assumed a power-law index -2 for the spectrum of the extragalactic flux of cosmic ray protons, while the observed index above and below the CR ankle is -2.70. Such an index increases by *two orders of magnitude!* the estimated energy flux of the extragalactic CRs in the relevant energy range $10^{16}-10^{18}$ eV from the observed energy flux in the $10^{19}-10^{21}$ eV energy range. Moreover,

a constant P yields an extagalactic neutrino flux proportional to the extragalactic flux of CR protons. This automatically includes the correct redshift dependence and cosmic evolution in the estimated neutrino flux.

[1] F. W. Stecker, *Phys. Rev.* **180**, 1264 (1969)

[2] E. Waxman and J. N. Bahcall, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 023002 (1999); J. N. Bahcall and E. Waxman, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 023002 (2001).

[3] K. Greisen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* bf 16, 748 (1966); G. T. Zatsepin, and V. A. Kuz'min *J. Exp. and Theor. Phys. Let.* **4**, 78 (1966).

[4] See, e.g., I. C. Maris (Pierre Auger Collaboration) *EPJ Web of Conferences* **53**, 04002 (2013). A. Aab et al. (Pierre Auger Collaboration) arXiv e-print:1503.07786

[5] W. D. Apel et al. (KASCADE-Grande Collaboration) *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 081101 (2013).